

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

DEMARCIANO H. PAGE,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 24-cv-10074

v.

HON. MARK A. GOLDSMITH

DONALD UPSHAW et al.,

Defendants.

OPINION & ORDER

(1) ADOPTING THE RECOMMENDATION CONTAINED IN THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S MAY 10, 2024 REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION (Dkt. 21), (2) DENYING DEFENDANT REGINA CASTRO'S MOTION TO DISMISS (Dkt. 18) AS MOOT, AND (3) DISMISSING PAGE'S STATE LAW DEFAMATION CLAIM AGAINST CASTRO WITHOUT PREJUDICE

This matter is presently before the Court on the Report and Recommendation (R&R) of Magistrate Judge David R. Grand issued on May 10, 2024 (Dkt. 21). In the R&R, the magistrate judge recommends that the Court decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff Demarciano Page's state-law defamation claim against Defendant Regina Castro, dismiss Page's state-law defamation claim against Castro without prejudice, and deny Castro's motion to dismiss (Dkt. 18) as moot.

The parties have not filed objections to the R&R, and the time to do so has expired. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). The failure to file a timely objection to an R&R constitutes a waiver of the right to further judicial review. See Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985) ("It does not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate's factual or legal conclusions, under a de novo or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings."); Smith v. Detroit Fed'n of Teachers, 829 F.2d 1370, 1373-1374 (6th Cir. 1987)

